

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,910	08/26/2003	Eric Bornstein	borne40565 5770		
21587	7590 10/02/2006		EXAMINER		
ALTMAN &		JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE			
6 BEACON ST, STE 600 BOSTON, MA 02108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744		
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		•	Application No. Applicant(s)				
			0/649,910	BORNSTEIN, ERIC			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit			
			risanne Jastrzab	1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .					
			tion is non-final.	•			
3) 🔲		is application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the a	nnlication					
	<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or elec	rtion requirement				
	on Papers	or ana, or oro	aon roquii omeni.				
	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:						
	Applicant may not request that any object						
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🔲 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents ha	ave been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•	·			·			
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) 🔲 Notice	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application			
Patent and Tr			6) Other:	· ·			

Art Unit: 1744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a laser system, classified in class 372.
- II. Claims 21-22, drawn to a process for destroying bacteria, classified in class 422, subclass 22.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be performed by a different energy source such as UV or e-beam.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1744

A telephone call was made to Atty. Steve Martin on 9/19/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 6:00am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,910

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Krisanne Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744 Page 4

September 27, 2006